Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/780,380	KIM, GANG-HO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Qutub Ghulamali</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Greg Hsu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agrees to amend claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8,10, 14 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to advance prosecution		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		•
		•
$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_n = Q_n$		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		